# Improving the air conditioning

Internship work of Erwan Le Dœuff

Félix Faisant, group seminar 26/09/2022

## Air conditioning in LCF lab rooms

- Siemens system. Air cooled by water at  ${\sim}11\,^{\rm o}{\rm C}$  through heat exchanger
- Flow of water controlled by a valve (normally closed,  $2.5 \,\mathrm{mm}$  course)



• Itself controlled by an actuator. By default, **electrothermal** actuator (STP73, slow: 0.01 mm/s, full course takes 180 s, PWM  $24 \text{ V}\sim$ ). Much better solution : **servomotor** actuator (SSP61, fast: 0.07 mm/s, full course takes 34 s, signal  $0-10 \text{ V}_{\text{DC}}$ ).



• Transport from MOT chamber to science chamber : crossed, interfering ODT tweezers



- Transport from MOT chamber to science chamber : crossed, interfering ODT tweezers
- Interferometric stability required on a scale of  $\gtrsim 1\,{
  m h}$  (+ pointing stability)
- Depending on the specific configuration and alignement, phase sensitive to temperature fluctuations (2020 data) :



- Transport from MOT chamber to science chamber : crossed, interfering ODT tweezers
- Interferometric stability required on a scale of  $\gtrsim 1\,{
  m h}$  (+ pointing stability)
- Depending on the specific configuration and alignement, phase sensitive to temperature fluctuations.
- 1064nm lasers wavelength :



$$\Delta \phi = \frac{\Delta L}{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{d}(\Delta \phi) = -\frac{\Delta L}{\lambda^2} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda = \boxed{0.1 \,\mathrm{rad}} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\lambda = 0.0001 \,\mathrm{nm} \\ \Delta L = 20 \,\mathrm{cm} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Transport from MOT chamber to science chamber : crossed, interfering ODT tweezers
- Interferometric stability required on a scale of  $\gtrsim 1\,{
  m h}$  (+ pointing stability)

Laser + fiber + TFP after stabilization (20W for 4h)

• Also critical: high-power 1064nm fiber injection and polarization :





#### Issues

• In our room, we have short- and long-term temperature stability issues :



Figure. Short-term oscillations (typ.  $15 \min$ ) (science table)

#### Issues

• In our room, we have short- and long-term temperature stability issues :



Figure. Long-term instability, worst case (new controller, 9 days) (science table)

#### Issues

- In our room, we have short- and long-term temperature stability issues.
- Sometimes, looks like there is **no regulation at all**...
- Not all lab rooms seem to have this issue. Temperature was stable in 2017.
- Seems that the behavior depends on **thermal load** and inertia in the room.

### Attemps at fixing the issue

- Changing temperature setpoint (by *a lot*)
- Changing the probe position in the room (in/out of the boxes)
- Changing air speed
- Partially closing the manual cold water valve
- Changing the actuator
- Changing the controller
- We can't change regulation/PID settings

### Attemps at fixing the issue

- Changing temperature setpoint (by *a lot*)
- Changing the probe position in the room (in/out of the boxes)
- Changing air speed
- Partially closing the manual cold water valve
- Changing the actuator
- Changing the controller

. . .

• We can't change regulation/PID settings

 $\rightarrow$  Nothing (or not much)

#### DIY temperature regulation

• Room temperature (PT100)  $\rightarrow$  PID  $\rightarrow$  Servomotor  $0-10 \, V_{DC}$ . Siemens controller  $\rightarrow$ 

### DIY temperature regulation

- Room temperature (PT100)  $\rightarrow$  PID  $\rightarrow$  Servomotor  $0-10\,\mathrm{V_{DC}}$ . Siemens controller  $\rightarrow$  is
- Two implementations :
  - 1. With one of our desktop computer :

Picolog temperature logger  $\rightarrow$  InfluxDB  $\rightarrow$  Python script PID  $\rightarrow$  USB DAC  $\rightarrow 0{-}10\,\mathrm{V}$ 

#### DIY temperature regulation

- Room temperature (PT100)  $\rightarrow$  PID  $\rightarrow$  Servomotor  $0-10\,{
  m V_{DC}}$ . Siemens controller  $\rightarrow$  is a served by the served served served served by the served s
- Two implementations :
  - 1. With one of our desktop computer : Picolog temperature logger  $\rightarrow$  InfluxDB  $\rightarrow$  Python script PID  $\rightarrow$  USB DAC  $\rightarrow 0-10\,V$
  - 2. With a standalone Arduino Due :
    - PT100 Arduino modules  $\rightarrow$  Arduino script PID  $\rightarrow$  internal DAC  $\rightarrow 0\!-\!10\,\mathrm{V}$



→ General rule in regulation theory : *act* / *reject perturbations as early as possible*.

Lower delays/ $\tau$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  Faster/tighter feedback allowed



→ General rule in regulation theory : *act* / *reject perturbations as early as possible*.

Lower delays/ $\tau$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  Faster/tighter feedback allowed



→ General rule in regulation theory : *act* / *reject perturbations as early as possible*.

Lower delays/ $\tau$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  Faster/tighter feedback allowed



1. Inner, fast feedback loop, acting on the valve ( $\tau \approx 300 \, \mathrm{s}$ , faster would be doable)

→ General rule in regulation theory : *act* / *reject perturbations as early as possible*.

Lower delays/ $\tau$ 's  $\Rightarrow$  Faster/tighter feedback allowed



1. Inner, fast feedback loop, acting on the valve ( $\tau \approx 300 \,\mathrm{s}$ , faster would be doable) 2. Outer, slow feedback loop, acting on the inner setpoint ( $\tau \approx 1000 \,\mathrm{s}$ )

#### **Nested loops** :

- + fast and efficient rejection of cold water / air re-intake temperature fluctuations
- + seems easier to do it in two steps

 $+\,$  the inner loop can be optimized more quickly; and then serves as a *linear* system for the outer loop

- more parameters to optimize
- needs two temperature probes

- poorly tuned nested loops < single loop : if the inner loop has some resonance (even small), it can be excited by the outer loop; if the inner loop is too slow, this can make the outer loop unstable...

#### Tuning the inner loop

**Step response** (-1 V on the valve servomotor) :



 $\rightarrow$  Delay  $\Delta t *$  short  $\tau_1$  exponential response \* long  $\tau_2$  exponential response

#### Tuning the inner loop

**Step response** (-1 V on the valve servomotor) :



 $\rightarrow$  Delay  $\Delta t *$  short  $\tau_1$  exponential response \* long  $\tau_2$  exponential response

#### Tuning the inner loop

ightarrow Delay  $\Delta t \ * \$  short  $au_1$  exponential response  $\ * \$  long  $au_2$  exponential response. Model :

$$H_{\mathsf{airsock}}(p) = G \cdot e^{-p\Delta t} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \tau_1 p} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \tau_2 p}$$

 $\rightarrow~$  Closed loop transfer function :

$$\operatorname{CLTF}(p) = \frac{\operatorname{OLTF}(p)}{1 + \operatorname{OLTF}(p)}, \quad \operatorname{OLTF}(p) = \left(k_{\mathrm{P}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{I}} \, p} + \tau_{\mathrm{D}} \, p\right) \cdot H_{\mathsf{airsock}}(p)$$

PID

ightarrow Bode diagram of  ${
m CLTF}(p)$ , with  $\Delta t$ ,  $au_1$ ,  $au_2$ , G random around the central values :



#### Results : inner loop



#### Results : inner loop



#### Tuning the outer loop

- $\rightarrow~$  Same procedure can be done : step function on the inner loop setpoint
- $\rightarrow~$  Was not done : educated guess is enough

#### Results : short term



## Two sensors for the science table



#### Results : long term

Science table temperatures :



#### Improvements and deployment

- $\rightarrow~$  Tuning can still be improved
- $\rightarrow$  More modern approaches (MIMO state representation)
- $\rightarrow$  Is it worth it ? (spatial temperature variations > regulation precision)
- $\rightarrow$  Averaging over several sensors ?

#### Improvements and deployment

- $\rightarrow~$  Tuning can still be improved
- $\rightarrow$  More modern approaches (MIMO state representation)
- $\rightarrow$  Is it worth it ? (spatial temperature variations > regulation precision)
- $\rightarrow$  Averaging over several sensors ?
- $\rightarrow~$  Electronics service : will design a proper module
- $\rightarrow$  For urgent temperature stabilization needs, I can help. Code and notebooks available.
- $\rightarrow~$  Of course, diagnosis needed before any intervention.